IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWELFTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 8384 of 2002

Between:

1. Jinender Raj Jain, S/o Late A. Madan Raj Sony, aged 40 years,

2. Jathan Raj Jain, S/o Late A. Madan Raj Sony, aged 38 years,

3. Vinay Raj Jain, S/o Late A. Madan Raj Sony, aged 34 years,

4. Jitender Raj Jain, S/o Late A. Madan Raj Sony, aged 30 years,

All are businessmen and residents of H.No.5-3-314, Jeera, Secunderabad.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1. Government of Andhra Pradesh represented by its Estate Officer -

Deputy Collector, Estate Office, Revenue Department, Secunderabad.

2. Government of Andhra Pradesh represented by its Chief Commissioner,

Land Administration, Hyderabad.

3. Government of Andhra Pradesh represented by its Sub Registrar,

Secunderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue any appropriate writ, order or direction, preferably a writ in the nature of Writ of

Mandamus declaring the Memo. No.A1/399/4/535 dt.26.5.2001 and CCLA's Ref. No.BB3/BBL2/812/97 dt.22.10.2001 issued by the 1st and 2nd respondents respectively as being illegal, arbitrary and violative of Articles 14 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the 1st respondent to transfer the lease hold rights in favour of the petitioners in respect of the property bearing No.3-3-9, 3-3-9/1 comprising of ground and 1st floor and premises No.3-3-56/5 admeasuring 128.30 Sq. yards situate at R.P. Road, Secunderabad and also direct the 3rd respondent to forthwith release the registered document No.276 of 1997 dt.6.10.97 in respect of the said property dehorsing the two reasons mentioned in the Receipt issued by the 3rd respondent.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR. V. VENUGOPAL REDDY, Advocate representing MR.P.VENUGOPAL, Advocate

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following:

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

ORDER:

Sri V. Venugopal Reddy, Advocate representing Sri P. Venugopal, learned Counsel for the petitioners seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the writ petition. A letter to that effect is also addressed to the Registrar (Judicial). Permission is accorded.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn.

_____

October 12, 2004. **V.V.S. RAO, J**

YS

To

1. The Estate Officer-Deputy Collector, Estate Office,

Revenue Department, Government of Andhra Pradesh, Secunderabad.

2. The Chief Commissioner, Land Administration,

Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

3. The Sub Registrar, Government of Andhra Pradesh,

Secunderabad.

4. Two CCS to the Government Pleader for Revenue, High Court

Buildings, Hyderabad (O.U.T)

5. Two C.D. Copies.